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California Department of Housing and Community Development

- Mission: Provides leadership, policies, and programs to preserve and expand safe and affordable housing opportunities and promote strong communities for all Californians.
  - Grants and funding
  - Mobile home registration
  - Building standards
  - Planning and community development
  - Policy and research

- Administrative agency for the California’s Building Code. Regulatory body for Housing Elements and State Housing Policy Lead.

CDBG-MIT Funding Overview

CDBG Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) is a new source of funding through HUD
– Different from CDBG-DR unmet recovery needs grant

What is Mitigation?
• Activities that increase resilience to disasters
• Reduce or eliminate long-term risk
• Lessen the impact of future disasters.

CDBG-MIT is preventative measures instead of recovery.
How Does the State Access MIT Funds?

• The state submits an Action Plan to HUD.
• The Action Plan identifies the following information:
  – Detailed analysis of the unmet recovery needs.
  – Overview of the proposed recovery programs and how the funds will be managed.
• HUD reviews and approves the Action Plan.
• Once approved, a Grant Agreement is signed and a line of credit is established.
• HCD does not have access to funding until the above steps are completed.
CDBG-DR Mitigation Fund Sequence

1. Disaster Event
2. Federal Disaster Declaration
3. Congressional Appropriation
4. HUD releases the Federal Registered Notice
5. HUD Action Plan Approval
6. HCD Submits Action Plan to HUD
7. Public Comment and Stakeholder Engagement
8. Develop the State Action Plan
9. HUD and HCD sign the Grant Agreement
10. Program Launch
CDBG-DR Mitigation Funding – Needs Assessment

The CDBG-MIT Mitigation Needs Assessment relies on:

- State and local Hazard Mitigation Plans
- Other county/municipal data from the 2017 disasters
- Cities and Counties impacted by disasters
- Consultations from state and local agencies
  e.g., CAL FIRE, Cal OES, OPR, Sierra Nevada Conservancy
MIT Funds Cover the 2017 and 2018 Disasters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Impacted and Distressed (MID) Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95422 (Clearlake City, Lake County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93108 (Montecito, Santa Barbara County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95470 (Mendocino County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95001 (Yuba County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94558 (Napa County)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017 Federally Declared Disaster Impacted Counties</th>
<th>2018 Federally Declared Disaster Impacted Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DR-4344</td>
<td>DR-4354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butte County</td>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>San Diego County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendocino County</td>
<td>Santa Barbara County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa County</td>
<td>Ventura County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuba County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Impacted and Distressed - 2017 and 2018 Disasters

- Impacted by 2017 and 2018
- Impacted by 2017 Disasters
- Impacted by 2018 Disasters
- 2017 Most Impacted and Distressed Area
- 2018 Most Impacted and Distressed Area
- County Boundary
Local Stakeholder Sessions

Round 1 Public Meetings (1/8 – 1/22)
- Ukiah – 25 attendees
- Santa Rosa – 46 attendees
- Marysville – 17 attendees
- Alhambra – 32 attendees
- Ventura – 61 attendees

Round 2 Public Meetings (3/4 – 3/23)
- Ventura – 32 attendees
- Santa Barbara – 18 attendees
- Napa – 4 attendees
- Santa Rosa/Sonoma County – 25 attendees
- Nevada, Butte, Yuba Counties – 17 attendees
CDBG-MIT Action Plan - Consultations

Stakeholder Engagement

- Impacted local governments
- California Fire Safe Council – Statewide
- Fire Safe Councils
- Local offices for CAL FIRE – review project list
- Other state agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Fire Safe Council</th>
<th>Program Suggestions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butte Fire Safe Council</td>
<td>• Biomass infrastructure for biowaste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Forest Health Restoration projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendocino Fire Safe Council</td>
<td>• Chipper program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Projects targeting Low- and Moderate-income Individuals (LMI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma Fire Safe Council</td>
<td>• Funding for fulltime and part time staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Fund projects with Safety, Environment, and Property in mind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa County Fire Department</td>
<td>• Fuel breaks around Wildland Urban Interface (WUI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuba Fire Safe Council</td>
<td>• Defensible space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Chipping program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura Fire Safe Council</td>
<td>• Home ignition Zone assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Fire Safe Council</td>
<td>• Home Hardening Cost share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange Fire Safe Council</td>
<td>• Community Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Defensible Space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2018 CDBG-MIT Action Plan Amendment

- Expands eligible counties from 2017 to include Shasta County
- Expand MID areas to include 2018 areas
- Leverage existing programs (MIT-RIP and MIT-PPS) to shorten time for getting money to impacted areas
- Align 2017 DR-Infrastructure program implementation
CDBG-DR Mitigation Funding

CDBG-DR Mitigation Funds

2017 Mitigation ($88M)
- CDBG-Mitigation Planning and Public Services Program (CDBG-MIT PPS) $22.4M
- CDBG-Mitigation Resilient Infrastructure Program (CDBG-MIT RIP) $61.4M

2018 Mitigation ($64M)
- CDBG-Mitigation Planning and Public Services Program (CDBG-MIT PPS) $16.5M
- CDBG-Mitigation Resilient Infrastructure Program (CDBG-MIT RIP) $45.1M
# CDBG Mitigation Action Plan - Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017 MIT Funds</th>
<th>2018 MIT Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summer 2019</strong></td>
<td>Funds Issued via FRN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spring 2020</strong></td>
<td>HCD Met the Action Plan Due Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summer 2020</strong></td>
<td>HUD Approved 2017 Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fall 2020</strong></td>
<td>MIT-RIP NOI Issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIT-PPS NOFA Issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spring 2021</strong></td>
<td>MIT-PPS NOFA Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIT-RIP Allocations Announced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fall 2021</strong></td>
<td>MIT-PPS Awards Announced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summer 2026</strong></td>
<td>50% of Funds Expended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summer 2032</strong></td>
<td>100% of Funds Expended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Winter, 2021</strong></td>
<td>Funds Issued via FRN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summer, 2021</strong></td>
<td>Action Plan Submitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimated HUD Approval Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TBD</strong></td>
<td>MIT-RIP NOI Issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TBD</strong></td>
<td>MIT-PPS NOFA Issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fall 2027</strong></td>
<td>50% of Funds Expended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fall 2033</strong></td>
<td>100% of Funds Expended</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Takeaways – Initial Implementation

• Mitigation opened up areas that were unfamiliar to local CDBG administrators or housing departments.
  • leveraged the experience of other state agencies to familiarize the team on new topics (fire, home hardening)
• Kept records of outreach activities (emails, flyers, attendee lists) and built a list of interested parties for future program communication.
• Leveraged state funding – used federal funds fill gaps not being addressed by state funds.
Key Takeaways – Initial Implementation (cont.)

• **Built relationships with other state/city agencies early**, especially during the disaster response phase
  - Brief early and often
  - Relationships with other state agencies/local entities will assist with built local trusted messengers about the programs

• **Strategic relationships** with local governments,
  - local public meeting locations
  - ensured outreach to federally protected classes and vulnerable populations
HCD CAC & ICARP TAC Partnership

- ICARP TAC supports coordination among state, regional, and local adaptation and resiliency efforts.

- HCD and OPR agree on the programmatic and policy alignment between ICARP and HCD’s Disaster Recovery programs and that ICARP TAC is well-positioned to serve as HCD’s CAC for the purposes of meeting CDBG-MIT grant requirements.

- The quarterly ICARP TAC meetings will service as CAC meetings.
Role of the ICARP TAC

The CAC is not a decision-making body, but it is a required forum for program transparency, public engagement, and citizen feedback.

In serving on the CAC, the ICARP TAC will:
1. Provide a public venue to share insight on the CDBG-MIT program and process
2. Provide feedback on CDBG-MIT program goals, design, and implementation;
3. Offer the collective technical expertise and professional insight of TAC members;
4. Advise the CDBG-MIT program on opportunities to advance climate resilience and adaptation in the context of disaster recovery investments.
Next Steps

ICARP members bring diverse and inclusive perspectives.

- How can we encourage/incentivize housing partners to take a comprehensive housing resilience approach?
- How do we balance environmental justice while supporting mitigation and resiliency efforts?

Program implementation constraints:
- Comply with federal regulations
- Adhere to geographic limitations
Thank you! Questions?

Maziar Movassaghi
Chief Disaster Recovery Officer
CA Department of Housing Community & Development
(916) 263-5015

maziar.movassaghi@hcd.ca.gov
Stay in the know: Sign up for HCD email at www.hcd.ca.gov